## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

) C/A No. 8:21-cv-00091-SAL
)
) OPINION & ORDER
) ) )
. )

Plaintiff Tracie Ethridge ("Plaintiff") brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) to obtain judicial review of the final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security ("Commissioner"), denying Plaintiff's claim for disability insurance benefits. [ECF No. 1.] In accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule (D.S.C.) 73.02(B)(2)(a), this matter was referred to United States Magistrate Judge Jacquelyn D. Austin for pre-trial handling. On February 22, 2022, the Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("Report"), recommending that the Commissioner's decision be reversed pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and remanded for further administrative action. [ECF No. 17.] On March 8, 2022, the Commissioner filed a Notice of Not Filing Objections to the Report. [ECF No. 18.]

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight, and the responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270–71 (1976). The court is charged with making a de novo determination of only those portions of the Report that have been specifically objected to, and the court may accept, reject, or modify the Report, in whole or in part. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In the absence of objections, the court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the Report and must "only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to

8:21-cv-00091-SAL Date Filed 03/09/22 Entry Number 20 Page 2 of 2

accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th

Cir. 2005) (citing Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the Report, the applicable law, and the record of this case in

accordance with the above standard, the court finds no clear error, adopts the Report, and

incorporates the Report by reference herein. Accordingly, the Commissioner's decision is

REVERSED pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) and REMANDED for further

administrative action as outlined in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Sherri A. Lydon United States District Judge

March 9, 2022 Florence, South Carolina